



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001  
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

JET

Docket No. NR12084-14  
9 Mar 15

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of 10 USC 1552.

[REDACTED]

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records (BCNR), sitting in executive session, considered your application on 9 March 2015. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. Specifically, the Board found that in your application you stated "I recently spoke to PSD on [REDACTED] and they gave me a guideline on how to put a Navy records corrections package together. This was the first time that I was made aware of the package that needed to be sent to BCNR." However, our records indicate that you previously submitted an application to the BCNR on 31 October 2010 for the same issue you are currently petitioning the board. The Board heard your case on 29 March 2011 under docket number #13731-10 and denied your petition. You were then sent a letter of the Board's decision. The Board has determined that the documentary material you have now submitted in support of your reconsideration case, are not new and do not support evidence of an error or injustice. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new evidence within one year from the date of the Board's decision. New evidence is evidence not previously considered by the Board prior to making its decision in this case. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a

presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert J. O'Neill", written in a cursive style.

ROBERT J. O'NEILL  
Executive Director